

## References

- Baker, S., Gersten, R., & Lee, D. (2002). A synthesis of empirical research on teaching mathematics to low-achieving students. *The Elementary School Journal*, 103(1), 51-73.
- Biafora, F., & Ansalone, G. (2008). Perceptions and attitudes of school principals towards school tracking: Structural considerations of personal beliefs. *Education*, 128(4), 588-602.
- Boaler, J., Wiliam, D., & Brown, M. (2000). Students experiences of ability grouping – disaffection, polarization and the construction of failure. *British Educational Research Journal*, 26(5), 631-648.
- Buffum, A., Mattos, M., & Weber, C. (2012). *Simplifying response to intervention: Four essential guiding principles*. Bloomington, IN: Solution Tree Press.
- Burris, C. C., Heubert, J. P., & Levin, H. M. (2006). Accelerating mathematics achievement using heterogeneous grouping. *American Educational Research Journal*, 43(1), 105-136.
- DuFour, R., DuFour, R., Eaker, R., & Karhanek, G. (2004). *Whatever it takes: How professional learning communities respond when kids don't learn*. Bloomington, IN: Solution Tree Press.

Flores, A. (2008). The opportunity gap. *TODOS research monograph. Promoting high participation and success in mathematics by Hispanic students: Examining opportunities and probing promising practices*, 1(1), 1-18.

Griffin, S. A., Case, R., & Siegler, R. S. (1994). Rightstart: Providing the central prerequisites for first formal learning of arithmetic to students at risk for school failure. In K. McGilly (Ed.), *Classroom lessons: Integrating cognitive theory and classroom practice* (pp. 25-49). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Knapp, M.S., Shields, P. M., & Turnbull, B. J. (1995). Academic challenge in high poverty classrooms. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 76(10), 770-777.

Larson, M. R., Fennell, F., Adams, T. L., Dixon, J. K., Kobett, B. M., & Wray, J. A. (2012). *Common core mathematics in a PLC at work: Grades 3-5*. Bloomington, IN: Solution Tree Press; Reston, VA: NCTM.

Lezotte, L. W. (1991). *Correlations of effective schools: The first and second generation*. Okemos, MI: Effective Schools Products.

National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. (2000). *Principles and standards for school mathematics*. Reston, VA: Author.

National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. (2014). *Principles to actions: Ensuring mathematical success for all*. Reston, VA: Author.

National Mathematics Advisory Panel [NMAP]. (2008). *Foundations for success: The final report of the national mathematics advisory panel*. U.S. Department of Education: Washington, DC.

Silver, E. A., & Stein, M.K. (1996). The QUASAR project: The “revolution of the possible” in mathematics instructional reform in urban middle schools. *Urban Education*, 30, 476-521.

Tate, W., & Rousseau, C. (2002). Access and opportunity: The political and social context of mathematics education. In L. English (Ed.), *Handbook of international research in mathematics* (pp. 271-300). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Usiskin, Z. (2007). The case of the University of Chicago school mathematics project – secondary component. In C.R. Hirsch (Ed.), *Perspectives on the design and development of school mathematics curricula* (pp. 173-182). Reston, VA: NCTM.